NAME OF THE COL	IRSE	Auditing							
Code	EUB308		Year of study			1			
Course teacher	Marko	ıko, PhD -Professor Čular, PhD nt professor	Credits (ECTS)			5 ECTS			
Associate teachers			Type of instruction (number of hours)		L 26	S	E 26	F	
Status of the course	Compu	Isory	Percentage of application of e-learning			20%			
		COURSE							
Course objectives		idents will be able to e audit evidence.	critically	/ apply	different au	uditing p	rocedur	es and to)
Course enrolment requirements and entry competences required for the course	Entry requirements are defined by the Statute of the Faculty of Economics, Business and Tourism and Study Regulations								
Learning outcomes expected at the level of the course (4 to 10 learning outcomes)	 Critically evaluate the role of external audit as corporate governance mechanism from international perspective. Evaluate quality control and oversight systems of external audit. Select appropriate audit procedures. Evaluate audit evidence. 								
Course content broken down in detail by weekly class schedule	Lectures			Exercises					
(syllabus)		Topic		Hours		Торіс			Hours
	Introdu	ction. Demand for auditing). .	2	Demand for auditing (hypotheses), the role of auditing in modern society – examples.				2
		rofession and audit marken- n-audit services.	t Audit	2	Auditing profession and market; audit vs. non-audit services – examples.				2
	externa corpora	dals and ition and of audit corporate	2	movie on En	nd discussing a documentary fron (Enron: The Smartest Room) – discussion regarding ud, corporate governance and			2	
	externa	control and oversight sys I auditing. Auditor indeper porary problems and solu	ndence –	2	and oversigh Analysis of the changing env	ve analysis of quality control ght systems in external auditing. the auditor liability in a nvironment. Independence ase studies and review			2
		rison of the audit regulation ive EU settings.	on in	2	Students' pre in their count	resentation of audit regulation ntries.			2
	concep	sed audit process: materi t, audit risk model, definin / and audit evidence colle	g audit	2	Analysis soft materiality co	Excel and CaseWare IDEA® Data Analysis software: Application of materiality concept and audit risk model in inancial statement audit. Audit evidence: ntroduction.			

	Management assertions, audit objectives, audit tests and audit evidence. Types of audit testing procedures. Test of controls and attribute sampling. Substantive procedures. Monetary unit sampling. Classical variables sampling. Analytical procedures. CAATs. Audit Data Analytics. Auditing business processes – examples. Guest lectires.			2		CaseWare: Managemen audit objectives, audit to vidence.		2	
				2	Excel and CaseWare: Atribute sampling.			2	
				2	Excel and CaseWare: Monetary Unit Sampling (MUS).			2	
				2	Excel and CaseWare: Classical variables sampling and other sampling techniques				
				2	Excel and CaseWare: Analytical procedures.				
	Completing the audit process. Audit report and types of opinion.			2	Excel and CaseWare: Audit sampling and analytical procedures integrated cases.				
	Nonfinancial repo external audit. Th development.			2	of audit repo	and auditor opinion – a orts from publicly traded i n Croatia . Nonfinancial		2	
Format of instruction	 ☑ lectures □ seminars and workshops ☑ exercises □ on line in entirety □ partial e-learning □ field work 				 □ independent assignments □ multimedia □ laboratory □ work with mentor ☑ guest lectures 				
Student responsibilities	To obtain a signature, students have to regularly attend classes and achieve minimum 50% of the attendance. Students also have to take two self-assessment tests.								
	Class attendance	1,5	Research			Practical training			
Screening student work (name the proportion of ECTS	Experimental work		Report			Self-Assessment Tests	0,5		
credits for each	Essay		Seminar essay			(Other)			
activity so that the total number of ECTS credits is equal to the ECTS value of the course)	Tests* (two written tests are equivalent to final exam)	3	Oral exam	2		(Other)			
	Written exam	3	Project			(Other)			
Grading and evaluating student work in class and at the final exam	During the classes, students can take two tests. The necessary condition for taking the second test is to obtain passing grade from the first test. Students that achieve minimum 50% on each test, and on average 60% from both tests have passing grade on the final exam. The ponder of the importance for the first test is 0.4 and for the second test is 0.6. A student can earn additional 10 percentage points on final score by actively participating in the class. The additional points can be used in first two exam terms. Final exam is written exam. To pass, it is necessary to achieve a minimum of 50% of points from the theory and a minimum of 50% of points from the problem/solution tasks, and on average to achieve a minimum of 60% of points at the level of the entire exam. Exam and tests grades are defined as follows:								

	Percentage Grade 0% - 59% Insufficient (1)						
	60% - 69% Sufficient (2)						
	70% -79% Good (3)						
	80%- 89% Very good (4)						
	90% - 100% Excellent (5)						
			1				
	Title	Number of copies in the library	Availability via other media				
	Brozović, M., Mamić Sačer, I., Pavić, I., Sever Mališ,	Х					
	S., Tušek, B., Žager, L.: Revizija nadzorni						
	mehanizam korporativnog upravljanja, Hrvatska						
Required literature	zajednica računovođa i financijskih djelatnika, Zagreb, 2020.						
(available in the library and via other	Soltani, B.: Revizija – Međunarodni pristup, Mate,	2					
media)	Zagreb, 2009.	_					
·	Internal lectures materials		Moodle				
Optional literature (at the time of submission of study programme proposal)	Books: Filipović, I. Bartulović, M., Filipović, M.: Revizija: mehanizam nadzora i povjerenja, Redak, digitalni tisak knjiga, 2018. Messier, W. F., Jr., S. M. Glover, and D. F. Prawitt. Auditing and Assurance Services: A Systematic Approach. Fourth — Eleventh Editions (2006, 2008, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2019). New York: Irwin-McGraw-Hill, Inc.Popović, Ž., Vitezić, N.: Revizija i analiza instrumenti uspješnog donošenja poslovnih odluka, RiF, Zagreb, 2009. Sever Mališ, S., Tušek, B., Žager, L.: Revizija, Hrvatska zajednica računovođa i financijskih djelatnika, Zagreb, 2012. Papers: Eliifsen, A., Kinserdal, F., Messier, W. F., & McKee, T. E. (2020). An exploratory study into the use of audit data analytics on audit engagements. Accounting Horizons, 34(4), 75-103. Aljinović Barać, Z., Vuko, T., Šodan, S. (2017). What can auditors tell us about accounting manipulations? Managerial Auditing Journal, 32(8), 788-809. Čular, M. (2017). Transparency report delay and disclosure by Croatian audit firms. Croatian Operational Research Review, 8(1), 299-316. Perica, I., Čular, M. (2017). Rotation of audit firms. Journal of Economy and Business, 23(1), 187. Vitezić, N., Vuko, T., Perić, H. (2016). Determinants of Audit Fees in Republic of Croatia. Zbornik radova (Journal of Economy and Business), 373-390 Vuko, T., Maretić, M., Čular, M. (2015). The role and effectiveness of audit						
	committee in corporate governance of credit institutions. <i>International Journal of Social, Education, Economics and Management Engineering, 9</i> (4), 324-328. Vuko, T., Čular, M. (2014): Finding determinants of audit delay by pooled OLS regression analysis, Croatian Operational Research Review, Vol. 5, No.1, pp. 81-91.						
	Other surces:						

	Državni ured za reviziju (http://www.revizija.hr/en) Hrvatska revizorska komora (http://www.revizorska-komora.hr/) Ministarstvo Financija (http://www.mfin.hr/en) Zagrebačka burza (zse.hr)
Quality assurance methods that ensure the acquisition of exit competences	 Attendance and fulfilment of student obligations monitoring (by the teacher). Attendance monitoring (by the vice-dean for education). The analysis of students' performance across classes within the study programme (by the vice-dean for education). Students' feedback via questionnaires (UNIST, Centre for quality improvement). The course teacher examines all outcomes of the course. The content of the exam is assessed periodically in order to establish the adequacy of course outcomes examination (by the vice-dean for education).
Other (as the proposer wishes to add)	